<u>REMARKS</u>

The applicant would like to thank the examiner for the telephonic interview on April 13,

2009, in which the affidavits, claims and the prior art were discussed.

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed

March 11, 2009.

Claims 1-9, 13-16, 19-28, 37, 38, 40-49, 55 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as

being anticipated by WebLogic Server 6.0, Deploying EJBs in the EJB Container, Programming

WebLogic Enterprise JavaBeans, e-docs.bea.com, pp. 1-5, archive copy 4/17/2001.

For the reasons discussed in the previous response and affidavits, the WebLogic Server

6.0 reference is not believed to be valid prior art.

In order to further prosecution, claim 1 has been amended to include the features of

canceled claims 2 and 9. The other independent claims have similar added features.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the

subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The

Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in

expediting issuance of a patent.

Attorney Docket No.: ORACL-01067US0 JOmalley/ORACL/1067us0/031109 OA Response 14

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 21, 2009

By: /Joseph P. O'Malley/ Joseph P. O'Malley Reg. No. 36,226

FLIESLER MEYER LLP 650 California Street, 14th Floor San Francisco, California 94108 Telephone: (415) 362-3800 Customer No. 80548

Attorney Docket No.: ORACL-01067US0 JOmalley/ORACL/1067us0/031109\_OA\_Response

15